

PAGES 1 - 25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY

IN RE PACIFIC FERTILITY CENTER)
LITIGATION.) NO. 18-CV-01586 JSC
)
)
) SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
) WEDNESDAY
_____) OCTOBER 17, 2018

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS OF THE OFFICIAL ELECTRONIC SOUND

RECORDING 9:33 A.M. - 10:04 A.M.

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFFS

GIRARD SHARP, LLP
601 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 1400
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94108

**BY: DENA C. SHARP, ESQUIRE
AMY MARIE ZEMAN, ESQUIRE**

LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
275 BATTERY STREET, 29TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111

BY: SARAH R. LONDON, ESQUIRE

PEIFFER WOLF CARR & KANE,
4 EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE 1400
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111

BY: TRACY B. COWEN, ESQUIRE

(FURTHER APPEARANCES ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

**TRANSCRIBED BY: JOAN MARIE COLUMBINI, CSR #5435, RPR
RETIRED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER, USDC**

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) :

**FOR DEFENDANT PRELUDE
FERTILITY, INC.** MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
425 MARKET STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105
BY: ERIN M. BOSMAN, ESQUIRE

**FOR DEFENDANT PACIFIC
FERTILITY CENTER** GALLOWAY, LUCCHESI, EVERSON & PICCHI
2300 CONTRA COSTA BLVD., SUITE 350
PLEASANT HILL, CALIFORNIA 94523
**BY: JOSEPH S. PICCI, ESQUIRE
AARON T. SCHULTZ, ESQUIRE**

**FOR DEFENDANT CHART
INDUSTRIES, INC.** MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP
ONE MARKET
SPEAR STREET TOWER
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105
BY: MOLLY MORIARTY LANE, ESQUIRE

1 WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 17, 2018

9:33 A.M.

2 (TRANSCRIBER'S NOTE: DUE AT TIMES TO COUNSELS' FAILURE TO
3 IDENTIFY THEMSELVES WHEN SPEAKING, CERTAIN SPEAKER
4 ATTRIBUTIONS ARE BASED ON EDUCATED GUESS.)

5 ---000---

6 PROCEEDINGS

7 **THE CLERK:** CALLING CIVIL ACTION C 18-1586, IN RE;
8 PACIFIC FERTILITY CENTER LITIGATION.

9 **THE COURT:** GOOD MORNING. THIS IS JUDGE CORLEY.
10 SO I UNDERSTAND THE PARTIES WISH TO DISCUSS A
11 QUESTION REGARDING DISCOVERY FOR THE PURPOSES, FOR AT LEAST IN
12 ADVANCE OF MEDIATION, AS WELL AS THE MEDIATION DATE.

13 SO IF I COULD HAVE COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS SORT
14 OF -- I HAVE READ YOUR EMAIL, AND I GUESS WHAT I'M WONDERING IS
15 I SORT OF NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE DISPUTE AS TO WHETHER YOU
16 HAVE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION OR NOT.

17 **MS. SHARP:** SURE. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS
18 DENA SHARP FOR THE PLAINTIFFS. FIRST OF ALL, WE APPRECIATE THE
19 COURT TAKING TIME OF ITS BUSY SCHEDULE FOR US THIS MORNING.

20 AS YOUR HONOR NOTES, THERE'S -- THERE ARE REALLY TWO
21 RELATED ISSUES THAT WE WISH TO RAISE THIS MORNING. THE FIRST
22 RELATES TO THE PRODUCTION OF TISSUE VIABILITY DATA, WHICH I
23 THINK WE CAN ALL AGREE IS IMPORTANT IN THIS LITIGATION FOR
24 REASONS THAT WE DISCUSSED WHEN WE WERE IN FRONT OF THE COURT
25 BACK IN AUGUST, BUT, REALLY, THE SCOPE AND GRANULARITY OF THAT

1 DATA TO BE PRODUCED.

2 AND AS WE TRIED TO ALLUDE TO IN THE EMAIL THAT WE
3 SENT THE COURT LAST NIGHT, THE CORE OF THE DAYLIGHT BETWEEN THE
4 PARTIES THAT THE POINT, AS WE PERCEIVE IT, YOUR HONOR, IS
5 WHETHER THE PLAINTIFF SHOULD HAVE ACCESS TO WHAT WE ARE SORT OF
6 THINKING OF AS THE RAW DATA RELATING TO TISSUE VIABILITY.

7 AND, TO BE CLEAR, WHEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT VIABILITY,
8 WE'RE REFERRING TO THE SUCCESS AND FAILURE RATES FOR THAWING,
9 FERTILIZING, AND IMPLANTING EGGS AND EMBRYOS THAT WERE IN
10 TANK 4 AT THE TIME OF THE INCIDENT.

11 SO, REALLY, THE CRUX OF THE ISSUE COMES DOWN TO THIS,
12 WHICH IS WE HAVE BEEN WORKING COOPERATIVELY WITH OUR
13 COUNTERPARTS ON THE DEFENSE SIDE TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT THE BEST
14 MEANS OF PRODUCING THIS INFORMATION. AND WE HAD A COUPLE OF
15 FALSE STARTS IN WHICH WE RECEIVED AGGREGATE DATA THAT, FROM OUR
16 PERSPECTIVE AND FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF OUR EXPERT WHO IS AN
17 EMBRYOLOGIST, RAISED MORE QUESTIONS THAN ANSWERS. AND WE CAN
18 EXPLAIN A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHY THAT IS, BUT --

19 **THE COURT:** SO CAN YOU -- CAN YOU EXPLAIN TO ME WHAT
20 IS THE AGGREGATE DATA?

21 **MS. SHARP:** CERTAINLY. SO MAYBE IT WOULD BE HELPFUL
22 IF I ILLUSTRATE WHAT WE HAVE PROVIDED TO THE DEFENDANTS AND
23 THEN WHAT THEY'VE PROVIDED TO US, JUST TO SHOW EXACTLY WHAT THE
24 DIFFERENCE IS.

25 SO WHAT WE GOT FROM THE DEFENDANTS LAST WEEK, MOST

1 RECENTLY, IS -- I AM LOOKING AT IT. IT'S A ONE-PAGE DOCUMENT
2 THAT HAS TWO CHARTS ON IT, AND ONE SAYS -- ONE INCLUDES
3 INFORMATION ABOUT EMBRYO THAWS WITH INTENT TO TRANSFER, AND ONE
4 INCLUDES INFORMATION ABOUT OF OOCYTE THAWS -- AND OOCYTES ARE
5 EGGS -- WITH INTENT TO CREATE EMBRYOS.

6 ON THE OTHER END OF THE SPECTRUM, WHAT WE HAD ASKED
7 THE DEFENDANTS TO GIVE US WAS TO POPULATE A SPREADSHEET THAT
8 HAS, YOU KNOW, A DOZEN OR MAYBE TWO DOZEN COLUMNS OF
9 INFORMATION THAT WOULD REALLY REPRESENT THE RAW DATA THAT WOULD
10 APPEAR IN LAB REPORTS.

11 AND WHAT WE HAVE HEARD BACK FROM THE DEFENDANTS IS:
12 WE CAN'T POPULATE THAT CHART BECAUSE IT IS TOO GRANULAR AND IT
13 INCLUDES INFORMATION THAT WOULD IMPLICATE PRIVACY CONCERNS.
14 WHAT WE HAVE ASKED FOR REPEATEDLY IS AN EXPLANATION OF HOW THAT
15 WOULD DO THAT, BECAUSE WE HAVE BEEN AS CLEAR AS WE CAN BE THAT
16 WE DO NOT WANT INDIVIDUALLY IDENTIFYING INFORMATION. WE DO NOT
17 WANT INFORMATION THAT WOULD IN ANY WORLD ALLOW ANYBODY TO
18 CONNECT THE DOTS TO FIGURE OUT WHO THESE FOLKS ARE. AND,
19 FRANKLY, WE HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO FIGURE OUT HOW SOMEONE WOULD
20 DO THAT ANYWAY. AND SO THAT IS REALLY THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN
21 WHERE WE ARE AND WHERE THEY ARE. THE PROBLEM WITH --

22 **THE COURT:** OKAY. CAN YOU -- CAN YOU, MS. SHARP, CAN
23 YOU EXPLAIN TO ME, SO THE AGGREGATE DATA THAT YOU GOT, DID IT
24 NOT HAVE -- YOU WANTED SORT OF SUCCESS AND FAILURE RATES. SO
25 FOR THE EMBRYO WITH -- EMBRYO THAWS WITH INTENT TO TRANSFER,

1 WERE YOU THEN GIVEN A SUCCESS AND A FAILURE RATE?

2 **MS. SHARP:** WELL, WE WERE, YOUR HONOR, BUT LET ME
3 EXPLAIN A COUPLE OF THE PROBLEMS THAT CAME BACK FROM IT FOR US
4 TO ILLUSTRATE.

5 NOW, AS I SAID, THERE'S A HEADING ON THIS AGGREGATE
6 DATA WE GOT THAT SAYS, "FOR EMBRYO THAWS WITH INTENT TO
7 TRANSFER." NOW, IT JUST SO HAPPENS THAT WE ASKED A QUESTION
8 WHEN WE WERE HAVING THE MEET AND CONFER: WHAT ABOUT EMBRYO
9 THAWS THAT OCCURRED NOT WITH AN INTENT TO TRANSFER, BUT THAT
10 WERE CONDUCTED BY THE CLIENTS WHO ARE DOING WHAT WE'RE ALL
11 REFERRING TO AS TEST THAWS? IN OTHER WORDS, PEOPLE WHO ARE
12 TRYING TO FIGURE OUT IF THEIR EMBRYOS ARE STILL VIABLE OR NOT,
13 WHICH OF COURSE IS --

14 **THE COURT:** YEAH.

15 **MS. SHARP:** -- THE THRUST OF WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO GET
16 AT.

17 AND WHAT CAME OUT AFTER WE ASKED THAT, THE NEXT DAY
18 WE GOT A SUBSEQUENT EMAIL FROM DEFENSE COUNSEL THAT SAYS, OH,
19 NOW WE UNDERSTAND THAT YOU'RE ALSO LOOKING FOR THAT, AND WHAT
20 WE CAN TELL YOU IS THAT THERE ARE AN ADDITIONAL 259 EMBRYOS
21 THAT WERE SUBJECT TO TEST THAWS. THOSE WERE EXCLUDED FROM THE
22 AGGREGATE DATA THAT WE GOT, AND THAT IS EXACTLY THE KIND OF
23 INFORMATION AND THE KIND OF UNCERTAINTY THAT WE ARE VERY
24 CONCERNED ABOUT HERE, BECAUSE WE -- AND WE'RE NOT, YOU KNOW,
25 SUGGESTING THAT THERE'S EVEN BAD FAITH IN PLAY HERE. WHAT

1 WE'RE SAYING, AS WE'VE ALL EXPERIENCED, WHEN WE'RE WORKING WITH
2 EXPERTS AND WE'RE WORKING WITH DATA, THERE ARE ALWAYS MANY WAYS
3 TO INTERPRET IT, MANY WAYS TO SLICE AND DICE IT.

4 WHAT WE HAVE TRULY ENDEAVORED TO DO IS GET THE RAW
5 DATA BECAUSE, OF COURSE, EVERYBODY IS GOING TO SLICE IT
6 DIFFERENT WAYS. SO THAT'S ONE EXAMPLE OF THE TYPES OF CONCERNS
7 WE HAVE WITH THE AGGREGATION OF DATA.

8 HERE'S ANOTHER ONE, YOUR HONOR, FROM AN EARLIER
9 ATTEMPT WE HAD WITH GETTING THIS INFORMATION FROM THE
10 DEFENDANTS. WHEN WE WERE PROVIDED WITH INFORMATION ABOUT,
11 QUOTE/UNQUOTE, PREGNANCIES, IT WAS ONLY AFTER WE MET AND
12 CONFERRED WITH THEM THAT WE LEARNED THAT, INCLUDED UNDER THE
13 UMBRELLA TERM "PREGNANCIES," WERE BOTH CLINICAL PREGNANCIES
14 WHICH QUALIFIED, YOU KNOW, WITH A HEARTBEAT AND ALL THE GOOD
15 THINGS PARENTS LOOK FOR, AND CHEMICAL PREGNANCIES WHICH ARE
16 EFFECTIVELY EARLY MISCARRIAGES. SO USING THE TERM "PREGNANCY"
17 FOR BOTH OF THOSE CATEGORIES OF INFORMATION IS, OF COURSE, VERY
18 PROBLEMATIC FOR US.

19 AND TO STEP BACK, YOUR HONOR, THE REASON WE'RE
20 RAISING THIS NOW IS BECAUSE IT'S NOT LIKE THIS IS THE ONLY TIME
21 WE'RE GOING TO ASK FOR THIS DATA. THIS IS DATA THAT IS GOING
22 TO BE CRITICAL FOR THE LITIGATION GOING FORWARD, WHETHER WE'RE
23 LOOKING AT WAYS TO RESOLVE IT OR WHETHER WE'RE LOOKING AT WAYS
24 TO LITIGATE IT.

25 WHAT WE HAVE FOUND -- AND I'M SURE YOUR HONOR HAS

1 FOUND THE SAME THING -- IS THAT IF WE DON'T GET OFF ON THE
2 RIGHT FOOT WITH THIS KIND OF DATA AND WE ONLY FIND OUT SIX
3 MONTHS OR A YEAR DOWN THE ROAD THAT WHAT WE ARE COMPARING ARE
4 APPLES AND ORANGES, WE ARE GOING TO HAVE A VERY TOUGH TIME
5 CLOSING THE GAP BETWEEN US.

6 **THE COURT:** SO WHAT IS -- WHAT IS THE DATA -- WHAT
7 ARE THE COLUMNS THAT YOU HAVE? I MEAN, I HEAR WHAT YOU'RE
8 SAYING, AND PART OF IT JUST SEEMS IT'S MORE LIKE AN EXPLANATION
9 OF HOW THEY GOT THERE, OR THERE MIGHT BE AN ADDITIONAL
10 AGGREGATE DATA ABOUT THE TEST THAWS. SO BUT -- BUT WHAT IS
11 THE -- WHAT IS THE -- BUT THAT DOESN'T SOUND TO ME LIKE RAW
12 DATA, MORE THAT YOU NEED TO KNOW WHAT WENT INTO THE AGGREGATE
13 DATA, AND THERE MAY BE ADDITIONAL AGGREGATE DATA. SO WHAT ARE
14 ARE THE COLUMNS THAT YOU'RE SEEKING THE RAW DATA?

15 **MS. SHARP:** SURE, SURE. I'M HAPPY TO WALK THROUGH
16 IT, YOUR HONOR, AND WE'D BE PLEASED TO SUBMIT THE TEMPLATE
17 SPREADSHEET, TOO, IF THAT'S HELPFUL.

18 FOR EXAMPLE, WITH REGARD TO THE EGG DATA, THE FIRST
19 COLUMN IS PATIENT IDENTIFIER. AND, OF COURSE, WHAT WE WANT
20 THERE IS A UNIQUE I.D. THAT DOESN'T INDIVIDUALLY IDENTIFY
21 ANYBODY, YOU KNOW, HIPAA COMPLIANT AND ALL THE REST OF IT.

22 THE NEXT COLUMN IS THE DATE OF THE OOCYTE THAW, OR
23 WARMING, AND WE HAVE TOLD THE DEFENDANTS THAT IF THEY ARE
24 CONCERNED WITH THINGS LIKE DATES OR TIMES, TO THE EXTENT THOSE
25 INDICATE OR MAY IMPLICATE PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION,

1 WE CAN WORK WITH THAT, JUST PROVIDED THAT WE CAN PULL APART THE
2 INFORMATION AND KNOW WHAT HAPPENED WHEN. TOTAL NUMBER OF
3 OOCYTES WARMED ON THE DATE; OOCYTE IDENTIFIER IN ORDER OF
4 WARMING ON THAT DATE; PREVITRIFICATION IMAGE OF THE OOCYTE, IS
5 THAT A YES OR A NO. SO, IN OTHER WORDS, THAT TELLS US IS THERE
6 ANY IMAGE OF THAT OOCYTE BEFORE IT WAS FROZEN. AND, LIKEWISE,
7 THE NEXT COLUMN, IS THERE AN IMAGE OF IT AFTER. AND SO ON.

8 SO THESE ARE, AGAIN, WHAT OUR EXPERT TELLS US ARE
9 VERY BASIC DATA POINTS THAT WOULD BE INCLUDED IN, YOU KNOW,
10 BASICALLY ANY LAB REPORT THAT WOULD BE RUN.

11 **THE COURT:** OKAY.

12 **MS. SHARP:** AND, AGAIN, WE'RE HAPPY TO PRODUCE -- YOU
13 KNOW, TO PROVIDE THIS INFORMATION TO THE COURT IF IT'S USEFUL.

14 **THE COURT:** SO LET ME HEAR FROM THE DEFENDANT,
15 DEFENDANTS.

16 **MS. BOSMAN:** YES, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS ERIN BOSMAN.

17 LET ME JUST START BY SAYING THAT WE HAVE CONTINUED TO
18 WORK WITH PLAINTIFF. I THINK WE ALL AGREE THAT WE WANT TO BE
19 COLLABORATIVE HERE AND MAKE THIS SOMETHING THAT WE ALL CAN
20 COOPERATE AND GET TO THE RESULT WE WANT, WHICH IS BEING READY
21 TO SIT DOWN FOR MEDIATION.

22 OF COURSE, WE STILL HAVE A MOTION TO COMPEL
23 ARBITRATION THAT IS PENDING. THIS IS DISCOVERY THAT WE ARE
24 DOING IN ANTICIPATION OF MEDIATION. AND THE INFORMATION THAT
25 WE HAVE DISCUSSED IN AUGUST THAT WE WOULD BE WILLING TO PROVIDE

1 AND THAT WE FELT WE COULD DO SO WITHOUT RUNNING AFOUL OF ANY
2 FEDERAL OR STATE PRIVACY LAWS WAS PROVIDING THEM WITH THE
3 NUMBER OF EMBRYOS THAWED, THE SURVIVAL RATE, THE NUMBER OF
4 TRANSFERS ATTEMPTED, THE PREGNANCY NUMBERS.

5 ALSO, LET ME THERE DEAL WITH THE BIOCHEMICAL ISSUE.
6 THE REASON FOR PROVIDING THESE BIOCHEMICAL NUMBERS, AS WELL AS
7 PERCENTAGE, IS BECAUSE THAT IS THE FIRST PIECE OF INFORMATION
8 THAT THE CLINIC AND THE LAB RECEIVED, IS THAT THERE'S A
9 BIOCHEMICAL PREGNANCY. THEY THEN WILL LEARN LATER WHETHER OR
10 NOT THAT DEVELOPS INTO AN ACTUAL PREGNANCY. SO THE BIOCHEMICAL
11 NUMBERS, THAT IS STILL A RELEVANT NUMBER, AND I WOULD THINK
12 THAT IS SOMETHING THAT THE PLAINTIFFS WANT TO HAVE SO THAT THEY
13 KNOW WHICH ONES FIRST SHOWED BIOCHEMICAL, BUT THEN DID NOT
14 DEVELOP INTO A PREGNANCY. SO WE PROVIDED THAT ALSO. WE
15 PROVIDED THE RAW NUMBERS. WE ALSO PROVIDED THE PERCENTAGE
16 NUMBERS.

17 PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL BROUGHT UP THE FACT THAT WHEN WE
18 FIRST PROVIDED THIS THESE CHARTS THAT IS SET FOR EMBRYO THAWS
19 WITH INTENT TO TRANSFER, WE ALSO PROVIDED FOR THE EGG DATA. IT
20 WAS THAWS WITH INTENT TO CREATE EMBRYOS. THAT WAS DONE BECAUSE
21 THIS IS THE EXACT DATA THAT THE LAB IS MAINTAINING; THESE ARE
22 THEIR CHARTS. THERE IS NOTHING WE DID TO THEM. WE WENT TO
23 THEM TO FIGURE OUT WHAT EXACTLY -- WHAT DATA DO YOU HAVE, AND
24 WE TURNED IT OVER TO PLAINTIFFS. WHEN THEY RAISED THE ISSUE
25 WITH US THIS ONLY INVOLVED INTENT TO TRANSFER, WITHIN THAT SAME

1 24-HOUR PERIOD, WE GOT THEM THAT INFORMATION. AND I AGREE,
2 THAT IS SOMETHING THAT OBVIOUSLY THEY NEEDED.

3 AGAIN, THAT TELLS US EXACTLY HOW MANY PATIENTS HAVE
4 DONE EMBRYO TEST THAWS. IT TELLS THEM HOW MANY EMBRYOS WERE
5 THAWED, HOW MANY SURVIVED, WHAT THE PERCENTAGE IS.

6 SO, WE FEEL THAT WE HAVE PROVIDED EXACTLY WHAT WE
7 AGREED TO PROVIDE IN ORDER TO SIT DOWN TO MEDIATION. AGAIN,
8 THIS ISN'T FULL-BLOWN DISCOVERY YET AT THIS POINT.

9 THE OTHER THING THAT WE NEED REMEMBER IS THAT
10 DEFENDANTS WOULD BE SUBJECTED TO POTENTIALLY LEGAL LIABILITY
11 FOR NONCOMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL AND STATE MEDICAL PRIVACY LAWS.
12 WE DID PROVIDE AN ANALYSIS OF THAT TO PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL
13 YESTERDAY AFTER WE WERE GOING BACK AND FORTH WITH OUR ISSUES TO
14 DISCUSS WITH YOU. IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE HAD DISCUSSED THROUGH
15 VARIOUS MEET AND CONFER CALLS, BUT WE DID LAY IT OUT IN WRITING
16 SO THAT THEY COULD SEE OUR CONCERNS AS TO WHERE WE WOULD RUN
17 AFOUL OF PRIVACY LAWS IF WE WERE TO PROVIDE THE DATA THAT THEY
18 ARE SEEKING.

19 BUT THE BOTTOM LINE IS TO SIT DOWN TO MEDIATION, WHAT
20 WE ALL AGREED WE NEEDED WAS AN ABILITY TO UNDERSTAND THE DATA
21 AS TO HOW -- HOW MANY EMBRYOS ARE SURVIVING OR NOT SURVIVING,
22 AND THEY HAVE THAT INFORMATION.

23 **THE COURT:** YEAH, I GUESS, MS. SHARP, WHY DO YOU NEED
24 TO KNOW WHETHER AN IMAGE WAS TAKEN OR NOT AT THIS POINT FOR THE
25 PURPOSES OF MEDIATION? I GET WHY YOU -- YOUR EXPERT MIGHT NEED

1 IT DOWN THE LINE, BUT WHY DO YOU NEED TO KNOW THAT?

2 **MS. SHARP:** WELL, IT'S FAIR QUESTION, YOUR HONOR, AND
3 ONE THAT I'VE ASKED, TOO. AND THE REASON IS BECAUSE EACH OF
4 THE CELLS THAT WE HAVE INCLUDED -- AND, YOU KNOW, WE HAVE BEEN
5 MINDFUL ABOUT NOT TRYING TO GET THE MOON AND STARS HERE --
6 FEEDS INTO THE QUESTION OF, FOR EXAMPLE, THE HEALTH OF THAT
7 EMBRYO BEFORE IT EVER WENT INTO THE TANK AND THE VIABILITY OF
8 THAT EMBRYO BEFORE IT EVER WENT INTO THE TANK, AND, FRANKLY, A
9 WHOLE HOST OF OTHER CELL AND MEDICAL CRITERIA THAT WOULD BE
10 IMPORTANT TO AN EMBRYOLOGIST TO SAY, OKAY, STATISTICALLY, THIS
11 PIECE OF THIS EMBRYO TRANSFER IS SIGNIFICANT BECAUSE IT
12 REFLECTS THAT THERE WAS SOME DEGRADATION IN THE CELL QUALITY
13 AFTER IT CAME OUT OF TANK 4 AFTER THE INCIDENT, FOR EXAMPLE.

14 **THE COURT:** YEAH, BUT THAT SEEMS TO BE A VERY GRANULE
15 CAUSATION-TYPE ARGUMENT THAT I CAN'T SEE THAT YOU'RE GOING TO
16 GET INTO AT MEDIATION, RIGHT?

17 **MS. SHARP:** I TEND TO AGREE WITH THAT, TOO, YOUR
18 HONOR, BUT THE ISSUE THAT COMES UP FOR US, THOUGH, IS THAT --
19 YOU KNOW, THE EXAMPLE THAT I ARTICULATED ABOUT THE FULL
20 UNIVERSE OF EMBRYOS AND THE LEAVING OUT OF THE TEST THAWS --
21 AGAIN, I DON'T DISAGREE WITH MS. BOSMAN. I THINK THAT WE ARE
22 WORKING TO THE SAME GOAL IN TRYING TO FIGURE THESE THINGS OUT.
23 BUT WHEN OUR EXPERT LOOKED AT THE DATA THAT WE GOT, SHE HAS A
24 NUMBER OF QUESTIONS. AND, FRANKLY, WE ARE UNCOMFORTABLE BEING
25 IN THE POSITION OF BEING THE ONES WHO ARE PUT IN THE ROLE OF

1 SAYING, HEY, DID YOU LEAVE OUT THIS, IS THIS LEFT OUT, AND
2 TRYING TO PLAY A GAME, FRANKLY, OF 20 QUESTIONS, WHEN WHAT'S
3 UNCLEAR TO US IS WHAT IS THE DENOMINATOR.

4 WE NOW, YOU KNOW, ARE STARTING TO GET A SENSE OF WHAT
5 THE NUMERATOR IS IN TERMS OF EITHER SUCCESS OR FAILURES IN THE
6 PREGNANCIES, AND IN THE TRANSFERS, AND IN THE THAWS. BUT WHAT
7 CONCERNS US IS THE INABILITY TO REALLY SLICE THE DATA AND
8 UNDERSTAND WHAT THE FULL UNIVERSE IS.

9 AND SO, YOU KNOW, I E-MAILED MS. BOSMAN THIS MORNING
10 AND SUGGESTED THAT WE CAN COLLAPSE A FEW MORE OF THE DATA
11 POINTS THAT ARE IN OUR SPREADSHEET TO TRY TO SIMPLIFY THE
12 PROCESS. BUT I THINK THE CONCERN FROM OUR STANDPOINT AT A VERY
13 BASIC LEVEL IS GETTING SOMETHING THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN WORKED
14 OVER -- AND I DON'T MEAN THAT IN A PEJORATIVE SENSE -- BY THE
15 CLIENT OR BY WHOMEVER IS LOOKING AT THE DATA, AS OPPOSED TO
16 JUST SIMPLY GETTING THE RAW DATA.

17 AND IF I MAY ADDRESS THE PRIVACY POINT, WE DID
18 RECEIVE A WRITING YESTERDAY EVENING THAT ARTICULATES, FOR THE
19 FIRST TIME THAT WE HAVE SEEN, THE BASIS FOR THE PRIVACY
20 CONCERNS THAT HAVE BEEN STATED. AND, FRANKLY, WE HAVE A VERY
21 TOUGH TIME SEEING HOW THERE ARE PRIVACY CONCERNS THAT ARE
22 IMPLICATED HERE FOR A COUPLE OF REASONS. THE FIRST --

23 **THE COURT:** I'M GOING TO STOP YOU, MS. SHARP, BECAUSE
24 I CAN'T ADDRESS THAT HERE, RIGHT? SO THAT'S SOMETHING THAT I
25 HAVE TO ADDRESS AND -- RIGHT, I CAN'T SAY THAT HERE. GIVEN THE

1 IMPORTANCE OF THE ISSUE, I CAN'T ADDRESS THAT ONE WAY OR THE
2 OTHER. WHAT I CAN -- WHAT I CAN SAY IS, AGAIN, THE DATA ABOUT
3 THE DATE OF THE THAW WARMING, AGAIN, I UNDERSTAND WHY, IF YOU
4 DON'T -- CAN'T WORK SOMETHING OUT OR WHY THAT EVENTUALLY MIGHT
5 BE IMPORTANT.

6 I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY IT'S IMPORTANT FOR AN EARLY
7 MEDIATION, RIGHT, IN PARTICULAR -- NOW, IF THERE'S ANY DATA
8 THAT THE DEFENDANT IS GOING TO RAISE AT A MEDIATION AS SOME
9 SORT OF A DEFENSE, THEN IT HAS TO BE DISCLOSED. RIGHT? THAT
10 SEEMS TO ME SORT OF THE DATA YOU'RE LOOKING AT WHERE, IF THE
11 DEFENDANT IS GOING TO SAY, WELL, THERE WAS A PROBLEM WITH THE
12 EGG EVEN BEFORE THE TANK FAILED, THEY CAN'T RAISE THAT. THEY
13 CAN'T SAY THAT BECAUSE THEY HAVEN'T PROVIDED YOU THE EVIDENCE
14 OF THAT.

15 BUT, OF COURSE, YOU CAN HAVE A DISCUSSION IN WHICH
16 YOU SAY FOR X, YOU KNOW, CLIENTS, THAT THIS IS THE PROBLEM,
17 THIS IS WHAT THE RESULT IS, RIGHT? THAT THIS IS WHAT THE
18 RESULT IS, WITHOUT HAVING -- KNOWING WITH MUCH CERTAINTY
19 WHETHER THAT'S THE RESULT.

20 WHAT I DON'T WANT TO DO IS -- AND I CAN TALK TO YOU
21 ABOUT A FEW DAYS -- DELAY THIS MEDIATION BECAUSE, QUITE
22 HONESTLY, I THINK THERE IS SOME URGENCY WITH RESPECT TO THE
23 CLASS. I THINK THAT'S THE MOST IMPORTANT THING, TO AT LEAST
24 GET TOGETHER AND SEE IF THERE IS SOMETHING, SOME PATH THAT YOU
25 CAN WORK OUT.

1 AND I CAN'T ADDRESS THE PRIVACY INFORMALLY BY WAY OF
2 THIS PHONE CALL WITHOUT GIVING THE DEFENDANTS THE OPPORTUNITY
3 TO RAISE IT. ALTHOUGH I WILL TELL DEFENDANTS I CERTAINLY KNOW,
4 YOU KNOW, THAT MEDICAL INSTITUTIONS DO ALL SORTS OF ANALYSIS
5 ALL THE TIME THAT COMPLIES WITH HIPAA AND THEY'RE ABLE TO GET
6 GRANULAR RAW DATA AND DO IT. SO I KNOW THERE IS A WAY OF DOING
7 IT. AND IT MAY BE THAT YOU DON'T HAVE THAT EXPERIENCE, AND SO
8 MAYBE YOU NEED TO CONSULT WITH THESE INSTITUTIONS THAT DO IT
9 ALL THE TIME. AND IF THEY DIDN'T DO IT, WE WOULDN'T HAVE ANY
10 SCIENCE OR MEDICAL DEVELOPMENTS, OR AT LEAST BE ABLE TO TEST.
11 SO I THINK THERE PROBABLY IS A WAY, BUT I CAN'T SAY THAT FOR
12 CERTAIN HERE ON THE CALL.

13 BUT WHAT I WANT YOU TO DO, AND IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU'RE
14 DOING IT, IS -- I THINK WHAT THE DEFENDANTS SHOULD DO IS THEY
15 SHOULD SIT DOWN WITH YOU AND TELL YOU AND MAYBE DO IT -- TELL
16 YOU AND WHOEVER CREATED IT, WHOEVER THEIR EXPERT IS OR GOT
17 IT -- THIS IS WHAT WE DID -- AND HAVE YOUR EXPERT ON THE CALL
18 IF YOU WANT -- THIS IS WHAT WE DID TO GATHER THIS DATA. SO, AS
19 MS. SHARP SAID, THEY SHOULDN'T BE HAVING TO DO 20 QUESTIONS.
20 DEFENDANTS SHOULD FIRST DISCLOSE: THIS IS WHAT WE DID. AND
21 THEN YOUR EXPERT CAN SAY, AH, YOU EXCLUDED THE TEST THAWS.

22 WELL, OF COURSE, AS DEFENDANT ADMITTED, THAT IS
23 INFORMATION THAT YOU NEEDED. AND SO THERE MAY BE MORE
24 GRANULARITY THAT YOU NEED AND YOU DO IT, BUT I'M NOT PREPARED
25 TO SAY THAT ALL THE GRANULARITY THAT YOU'RE LOOKING FOR IS

1 SOMETHING THAT YOU NEED IN ADVANCE OF MEDIATION, OR THAT I CAN
2 SAY THE PRIVACY CONCERNS THAT THEY RAISE -- ALTHOUGH I'M PRETTY
3 CERTAIN THEY CAN BE ADDRESSED, I CAN'T SAY THAT INFORMALLY
4 WITHOUT GIVING THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT IT MORE FORMALLY
5 TO ME. THAT'S THE SITUATION THAT WE'RE IN. SO I DON'T KNOW.
6 THAT'S PROBABLY NOT HELPFUL AT ALL, BUT --

7 **MS. SHARP:** WELL, IT IS, YOUR HONOR, IN THE SENSE
8 THAT I THINK THAT A DIALOGUING IN A MEANINGFUL SENSE IS USEFUL
9 TO US, BUT THE CONCERN THAT YOU'VE RAISED IS -- IS ONE THAT WE
10 SHARE, WHICH IS WE CAN'T REALLY FEEL LIKE WE'RE BIDDING AGAINST
11 OURSELVES WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF AS CLOSE TO PERFECT
12 INFORMATION AS WE CAN GET IN TERMS OF VIABILITY BECAUSE -- YOU
13 KNOW, IN TERMS OF VALUING OUR CLIENTS' CLAIMS AND PUTTING THOSE
14 ON ANY KIND OF SPECTRUM, AND, CANDIDLY, THAT IS WHAT IS LIKELY
15 TO HAVE TO HAPPEN FOR US TO FIGURE OUT ANY WAY FOR US TO
16 STRUCTURE A RESOLUTION HERE, IT'S REALLY --

17 **THE COURT:** RIGHT. BUT YOU CAN -- CAN'T YOU, LIKE --
18 LIKE, WHETHER IT IS, IN FACT, VIABLE, RIGHT, YOU CAN -- YOU CAN
19 STRUCTURE SOMETHING THAT SAYS, IF IT'S NOT, THIS IS WHAT YOU
20 GET, AND THEN HERE'S OUR PATH, AND THEN TO FIGURE THAT OUT AS
21 IT IS.

22 IN OTHER WORDS, I DON'T KNOW THAT YOU NEED TO KNOW --
23 IF YOU'RE DOING IT, FRANKLY, IT SHOULD BE, I THINK, ON A PER
24 CLIENT BASIS ANYWAY. I DON'T KNOW THAT YOU NEED TO KNOW THE
25 EXACT NUMBER.

1 I UNDERSTAND WHY YOU WOULD NEED TO KNOW SOME
2 AGGREGATE NUMBERS, BECAUSE YOU WANT TO SHOW WHAT YOU BELIEVE,
3 WHICH IS BECAUSE OF THE TANK FAILURE, THERE ARE GOING TO BE
4 MORE UNVIABLE, RIGHT, OR LESS SUCCESS BECAUSE OF IT, SO YOU
5 NEED TO KNOW THAT NUMBER, BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT YOU NEED TO
6 KNOW SORT OF THE, YOU KNOW, THE DATE OF THE THAW WARNING.

7 CERTAINLY THERE COULD BE SOME ARGUMENT THAT IT WAS
8 MORE SUCCESSFUL LATER, OR SOONER, OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. I
9 DON'T KNOW THAT YOU NEED THAT FOR A MEDIATION RIGHT AWAY,
10 UNLESS, OF COURSE, THE DEFENDANT IS GOING TO RAISE THAT AS AN
11 ISSUE.

12 ANYTHING THE DEFENDANT IS GOING TO RAISE AS AN ISSUE
13 IN THE MEDIATION, THE DATA HAS TO BE PRODUCED IN ADVANCE. SO
14 THE DISCUSSION HAS TO BE BASED SOLELY ON THE DATA THAT'S
15 PRODUCED. AND THAT'S WHAT, OF COURSE, WE DON'T WANT TO SEE
16 HAPPEN. I UNDERSTAND, MS. SHARP, THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE TRYING TO
17 PREVENT FROM HAPPENING, IS YOU GET TO MEDIATION AND ALL OF A
18 SUDDEN WELL, LIKE, KNOW THIS, WELL, WE DIDN'T KNOW THIS.

19 **MS. SHARP:** THAT'S -- I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT, YOUR
20 HONOR.

21 **THE COURT:** YEAH.

22 **MS. SHARP:** THAT'S EXACTLY RIGHT. I MEAN, THE MAJOR
23 CONCERN FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE ALSO IS THAT THE NUMBERS WE GET
24 ARE SKEWED IN WAYS THAT WE DON'T EVEN UNDERSTAND BECAUSE, OF
25 COURSE, WE HAVE A LOT LESS PERFECT ACCESS TO THE INFORMATION

1 THAN OUR ADVERSARIES DO.

2 **THE COURT:** NO, NO, NO --

3 **MS. SHARP:** AND SO.

4 **THE COURT:** SO I THINK THAT --

5 **MS. BOSMAN:** YOUR HONOR?

6 **THE COURT:** YES. GO AHEAD.

7 **MS. BOSMAN:** THIS IS ERIN BOSMAN.

8 I JUST WANTED TO MAKE CLEAR THAT ONE OF THE THINGS
9 THAT I HAD EXPLAINED TO MS. SHARP, AND I KNOW SOME OF MY OTHER
10 COLLEAGUES WHEN THEY HAVE SPOKEN WITH OTHER PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL
11 IN MEET AND CONFER, HAVE EXPLAINED WE WANT TO PROVIDE THEM WITH
12 ALL OF THE DATA WE CAN PROVIDE ON AN AGGREGATE BASIS.

13 SO, AGAIN, THIS NOT AT FIRST HAVING GIVEN THE TEST
14 THAWS, THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS JUST MISSED, LIKE I SAID,
15 BECAUSE WE WERE AT THAT POINT HANDING OVER EXACTLY WHAT THE
16 LAB -- WHAT THEY WERE MAINTAINING, THE CHARTS THEY WERE
17 UPDATING.

18 SO AT THIS POINT, THOUGH, THEY HAVE ALL OF THE
19 INFORMATION ABOUT THAWS THAT HAVE OCCURRED.

20 NOW, OBVIOUSLY, MORE THAWS CONTINUE TO OCCUR.
21 CLEARLY, WE ARE HAPPY TO CONTINUE TO UPDATE THAT DATA. WHAT WE
22 ARE OBJECTING TO IS BREAKING THIS DOWN BY A PATIENT. THEY WANT
23 US TO PROVIDE ALL OF THE INFORMATION FOR PATIENT A, PATIENT B,
24 PATIENT C. THAT IS WHAT WE CANNOT DO AT THIS POINT.

25 HOWEVER, IF THEY WANT TO, FOR THEIR OWN CLIENTS,

1 ANYONE WHO HAS RETAINED ONE OF THE PLAINTIFFS' ATTORNEYS, THEY
2 WOULD LIKE US TO BREAK DOWN DATA FOR THEIR PATIENT, HAPPY TO DO
3 SO. I'LL BREAK DOWN ANYTHING THEY WANT ON PATIENTS, BECAUSE
4 THEN I KNOW THAT THAT PATIENT HAS CONSENTED.

5 WE HAVE PATIENTS THAT ARE PREGNANT THAT HAVE MADE
6 VERY CLEAR WE ARE NOT TO GIVE OUT THEIR INFORMATION. THEY DO
7 NOT WANT TO BE INCLUDED. AND WE ARE TRYING TO GO THROUGH THAT
8 FINE LINE, THAT WE DO NOT RUN AFOUL OF OUR PATIENTS' -- THEIR
9 PRIVACY AND OUR DIFFERENT OBLIGATIONS.

10 BUT AGGREGATE DATA AS -- YOU KNOW, MS. SHARP IS
11 SAYING THEY JUST DON'T KNOW THE REALM OF THE NUMBERS AT ISSUE,
12 BUT THEY DO. THEY KNOW EXACTLY HOW MANY EMBRYOS HAVE BEEN
13 THAWED TO DATE.

14 **THE COURT:** BUT WHEN YOU SAY, MS. BOSMAN, THAT THOSE
15 PATIENTS DON'T WANT TO BE INCLUDED, YOU'RE NOT SAYING THEY'RE
16 NOT -- THEY ARE INCLUDED IN THE AGGREGATE DATA?

17 **MS. BOSMAN:** CORRECT.

18 **THE COURT:** OKAY.

19 **MS. BOSMAN:** YES, ABSOLUTELY.

20 **THE COURT:** OKAY. ALL RIGHT.

21 SO THIS IS WHAT I THINK WE NEED TO DO, THOUGH, IS I
22 DO THINK, TO THE EXTENT MS. SHARP WANTS TO SIT DOWN AND HAVE A
23 CONVERSATION WITH WHOEVER IT IS THAT CREATED YOUR DATA SO THAT
24 THEY CAN ASK QUESTIONS AS TO WHAT WENT INTO CREATING THE
25 AGGREGATE DATA, I THINK THAT'S APPROPRIATE, AND MAYBE TO SOME

1 EXTENT YOU'VE ALREADY HAD IT, SO THAT SHOULD BE CONTINUED SO
2 THEY KNOW EXACTLY HOW THAT DATA WAS CREATED. SO YOU'RE NOT
3 GIVING ANY INDIVIDUAL PATIENT -- EVEN IF IT'S ANONYMOUS --
4 INFORMATION, YOU'RE JUST SAYING: THIS IS WHAT WE DID TO CREATE
5 THIS DATA. SO THEY KNOW.

6 **MS. SHARP:** THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THAT'S HELPFUL.

7 **THE COURT:** ALL RIGHT. NOW, YOU HAD ANOTHER ISSUE
8 WHICH WAS -- NOW, OF COURSE, TO THE EXTENT THERE'S ANY FURTHER
9 DISPUTE, YOU CAN PRESENT IT TO ME MORE FORMALLY BY WAY OF
10 LETTER BRIEF, BUT HOPEFULLY --

11 **MS. BOSMAN:** YES, YOUR HONOR, I DID WANT TO CLARIFY
12 ONE POINT.

13 IN TALKING THROUGH HOW THIS DATA WAS CREATED, I WOULD
14 AGREE THAT THE LAWYER THAT WORKED DIRECTLY WITH THE LAB IN
15 PULLING THIS INFORMATION SHOULD ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS. I JUST
16 WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE IN AGREEMENT THAT WE'RE NOT HAVING
17 THE PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL GO AND SIT DOWN WITH ANY OF THE ACTUAL
18 EMBRYOLOGISTS AT THE LAB TO GET INTO THIS, BECAUSE, REALLY,
19 THAT IS A MINI DEPOSITION.

20 **THE COURT:** WELL, HERE'S THE THING: IF THE LAWYER
21 DIDN'T CREATE THE DATA, THEN THERE'S A PROBLEM THERE, RIGHT?
22 WHY CAN'T THE PERSON SIT THERE? I MEAN, MAYBE IT IS -- IT'S
23 NOT -- MS. SHARP WOULDN'T USE IT AS A MINI DEPOSITION, BUT IT
24 IS A CIVIL CASE, AND SO -- BY THE WAY, IT'S ALL SUBJECT TO RULE
25 408, SO IT'S NOT ADMISSIBLE IN ANY EVENT, BUT THE PROBLEM IS

1 THE LAWYER DIDN'T CREATE THE DATA. SO THAT'S ACTUALLY EXACTLY
2 WHAT I AM TRYING TO --

3 **MS. BOSMAN:** OKAY.

4 **THE COURT:** -- AVOID, IS HAVE IT THERE.

5 THE LAWYER, OF COURSE, CAN BE THERE, AND IF YOU THINK
6 A QUESTION IS INAPPROPRIATE, IT'S INAPPROPRIATE, BUT IT'S JUST:
7 WHAT DID YOU DO TO CREATE THE -- HOW DID YOU CREATE THIS
8 AGGREGATE DATA? THIS IS WHAT I DID; I DID X, Y, Z. THAT
9 SHOULDN'T BE A SECRET.

10 **MS. BOSMAN:** YES. AND, YOUR HONOR, I WOULD JUST
11 PROPOSE, IF WE COULD AGREE, THAT IF WE COULD DO THAT IN A -- IN
12 A WRITTEN FORMAT SO THAT WE SEE THE QUESTIONS --

13 **THE COURT:** NO.

14 **MS. BOSMAN:** -- AND THEN WE CAN PROVIDE --

15 **THE COURT:** THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO AVOID, AND
16 THAT'S THE PROBLEM. IF YOU COULD DO IT THAT WAY, YOU GUYS
17 WOULD HAVE ACTUALLY BEEN ABLE TO RESOLVE THIS WITHOUT BEING
18 HERE TODAY, BUT SO MUCH MORE GETS RESOLVED WHEN WE ACTUALLY SIT
19 LIKE PEOPLE AND HAVE CONVERSATIONS. THEN IT'S DYNAMIC AND
20 THINGS LIKE THAT, AS OPPOSED TO WRITING. AND, BY THE WAY, IT'S
21 A HUNDRED TIMES FASTER AND MORE EFFECTIVE THAT WAY AS WELL.
22 AND IT'S ALL SUBJECT TO RULE 408.

23 IT SHOULDN'T BE A SECRET. IT'S A CIVIL CASE, NOT A
24 CRIMINAL CASE. IT'S JUST A CIVIL CASE.

25 **MS. BOSMAN:** THAT'S FINE. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

1 ONE ADDITIONAL QUESTION THAT I COULD ASK? COULD WE
2 HAVE AN AGREEMENT THAT PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL HAVE ONE COUNSEL
3 THAT IS GOING TO SIT DOWN AND TALK WITH THE EMBRYOLOGIST, AS
4 OPPOSED TO -- I JUST DON'T WANT SOMETHING WHERE WE HAVE TEN
5 PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL.

6 **THE COURT:** I THINK MS. SHARP -- I THINK TWO WOULD
7 PROBABLY BE SUFFICIENT BECAUSE SHE'S GOING TO WANT TO CONFER
8 WITH SOMEONE, RIGHT?

9 **MS. BOSMAN:** THAT WOULD BE FINE. THANK YOU, YOUR
10 HONOR.

11 **THE COURT:** YEAH. I MEAN, I EVEN LIKE TO HAVE
12 SOMEBODY WITH ME.

13 **MS. SHARP:** I WAS GOING TO SAY, SOMEBODY NEEDS TO DO
14 THE REAL WORK, AND USUALLY THAT'S SOMEBODY OTHER THAN THE
15 PERSON DOING THE TALKING, AS WE ALL KNOW.

16 **THE COURT:** WELL, THE PERSON DOING THE TALKING SHOULD
17 BE THE PERSON WHO DID THE REAL WORK, ACTUALLY.

18 **MS. SHARP:** I'M JOKING, YOUR HONOR, BUT IT'S ALWAYS
19 HELPFUL TO HAVE SOME BACKUP.

20 **THE COURT:** OKAY. SO NOW LET'S TALK ABOUT -- SO
21 GIVEN THIS, IS THERE A CHANCE WE COULD STICK TO YOUR MEDIATION
22 DATE?

23 **MS. SHARP:** WELL, IT'S A FAIR QUESTION, YOUR HONOR.
24 I SUPPOSE THAT PART OF THAT DEPENDS ON HOW QUICKLY WE CAN SIT
25 DOWN AND FEEL THAT WE AND OUR EXPERT REALLY CAN GET OUR ARMS

1 AROUND THAT DATA.

2 NOW, THERE'S A RELATED MEET AND CONFER THAT IS
3 ONGOING. AS YOUR HONOR MAY RECALL, AT THE LAST HEARING WE
4 REALLY HAD TWO CATEGORIES OF INFORMATION THAT WE THOUGHT WERE
5 OF THE UTMOST IMPORTANCE. THE FIRST WAS THIS VIABILITY DATA
6 AND THE SECOND IS THE ROOT CAUSE; IN OTHER WORDS, GETTING TO
7 THE BOTTOM OF WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENED TO THE TANK.

8 NOW WE HAVE HAD AN INSPECTION OF THE TANK THAT, AS
9 YOUR HONOR CAN IMAGINE, WAS ENLIGHTENING IN SOME REGARDS AND
10 RAISED A HOST OF OTHER QUESTIONS THAT THE PARTIES ARE WORKING
11 THROUGH, I WOULD SAY, COLLABORATIVE.

12 AND, YOU KNOW, THERE ARE SOME] ASSERTIONS THAT ARE
13 BEING RAISED IN TERMS OF THE ANALYSES THAT HAVE BEEN CONDUCTED,
14 LIKE WORK PRODUCT AND SO FORTH, THAT WE HAVE SOME CONCERNS
15 ABOUT], BUT ARE WORKING THROUGH.

16 I THINK IT WOULDN'T BE A STATE SECRET TO SAY THERE'S
17 LIKELY GOING TO BE SOME FINGER POINTING AMONG THE DEFENDANTS
18 ABOUT WHO IS ACTUALLY TO BLAME ABOUT THE TANK FAILURE. AND SO
19 FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE, TO HAVE AT LEAST A BIT MORE TIME TO ALLOW
20 THAT PROCESS TO PLAY OUT WOULD BE VERY USEFUL AND I THINK
21 PRODUCTIVE.

22 I DON'T THINK THERE IS ANYTHING STANDING IN THE WAY
23 OF MS. BOSMAN AND MYSELF AND A HANDFUL OF OTHERS SITTING DOWN
24 AND STARTING TO TALK ABOUT STRUCTURE FOR ANY MEDIATION, BUT IT
25 SEEMS TO ME THAT WHAT WOULD PROMOTE THE MOST EFFECTIVE START TO

1 THAT PROCESS UNDER THE AUSPICES OF A MEDIATOR WOULD BE TO
2 PROVIDE AT LEAST A BIT MORE TIME FOR US TO GET THROUGH THE
3 VIABILITY AND THE ROOT CAUSE DATA.

4 NOW WHAT WE WERE GOING TO SUGGEST BEFORE GETTING ON
5 THE PHONE WITH YOUR HONOR WAS TO GIVE US SOMEWHERE IN THE REALM
6 OF 60 DAYS, MAYBE NOT A DEADLINE RIGHT AT NEW YEAR'S, TO DO THE
7 MEDIATION. BUT OUR THOUGHT WAS THAT AT LEAST A LITTLE BIT MORE
8 TIME WILL HELP US SHAPE THESE ISSUES A BIT BETTER SO THAT WE
9 ARE REALLY READY TO ROLL OUR SLEEVES UP AND GET TO WORK.

10 **THE COURT:** SO CAN I ASK, WHAT IS THE PARTIES'
11 POSITION THEN WITH RESPECT TO HEARING THE MOTION TO COMPEL AND
12 THE TIMING OF MEDIATION?

13 **MS. SHARP:** WE HAVE NOT DISCUSSED PUTTING THAT OFF,
14 AND WE'RE PREPARED TO PROCEED ON THAT MOTION, AND SO WE WOULD
15 SEE THEM AN OBVIOUSLY RELATED --

16 **THE COURT:** SEPARATE.

17 **MS. SHARP:** -- BUT SEPARATE ISSUES.

18 **THE COURT:** ALL RIGHT. SO THIS IS WHAT I WOULD THEN
19 SAY AS TO THE MEDIATION, BECAUSE THE PARTIES KNOW WHETHER
20 YOU'RE IN A POSITION FOR IT TO BE PRODUCTIVE. IT DOESN'T NEED
21 TO BE SUCCESSFUL AT THE FIRST SECTION; IT JUST NEEDS TO BE
22 PRODUCTIVE. AND IF THE PARTIES ALL STIPULATE AND AGREE, THEN
23 I'M HAPPY TO GO ALONG WITH THAT.

24 I WOULD JUST SAY THAT, YOU KNOW, THE PERFECT IS THE
25 ENEMY OF THE GOOD, AND I KNOW YOU KNOW, MS. SHARP, BECAUSE I

1 KNOW YOU ARE CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. IT DOES SEEM TO ME THAT
2 GETTING SOMETHING GOING SOONER RATHER THAN LATER COULD BE
3 PRODUCTIVE HERE. BUT THE PARTIES, I KNOW, HAVE BEEN WORKING ON
4 THAT.

5 THAT'S A LONG WAY OF SAYING, IF THE PARTIES AGREE,
6 I'LL AGREE TO IT. JUST SUBMIT ME A STIPULATION.

7 **MS. SHARP:** WE APPRECIATE IT, YOUR HONOR. WITH THAT
8 SAID, OUR CLIENTS AND WE REMAIN VERY INTERESTED IN MOVING THIS
9 AS QUICKLY AS WE CAN. SO I DON'T WANT THE COURT TO BE LEFT
10 WITH THE IMPRESSION THAT WE'RE DOING ANYTHING BUT MOVING AS
11 QUICKLY AS WE CAN.

12 **THE COURT:** NO, NO. YOU'VE ALL BEEN WORKING VERY
13 COOPERATIVELY TOGETHER. I JUST DON'T WANT TO DO ANYTHING THAT
14 MUCKS ANYTHING UP.

15 **MS. SHARP:** WE APPRECIATE THAT.

16 **THE COURT:** OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WELL, HOPEFULLY, THAT
17 WAS HELPFUL.

18 **MS. BOSMAN:** THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

19 **MS. SHARP:** VERY WELL. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

20 **UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:** THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

21 **UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:** THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.

22 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 10:04 A.M.)
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND CORRECT
TRANSCRIPT, TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY, OF THE ABOVE PAGES OF
THE OFFICIAL ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING PROVIDED TO ME BY THE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OF THE
PROCEEDINGS TAKEN ON THE DATE AND TIME PREVIOUSLY STATED IN THE
ABOVE MATTER.

I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER COUNSEL FOR,
RELATED TO, NOR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE PARTIES TO THE ACTION IN
WHICH THIS HEARING WAS TAKEN; AND, FURTHER, THAT I AM NOT
FINANCIALLY NOR OTHERWISE INTERESTED IN THE OUTCOME OF THE
ACTION.



JOAN MARIE COLUMBINI

OCTOBER 25, 2018